arXiv:hep-ph/0110294v3 1 Nov 2001

Lepton Flavor Changing Neutral Current Processes at Lepton-Hadron Colliders

A.T.ALAN and A.SENOL

Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences and Arts,

Abant Izzet Baysal University,14280 Gölköy, Bolu, TURKEY

(Dated: February 1, 2008)

Abstract

We investigate the potentials of high energy lepton-proton colliders to detect a flavor changing $l-l'-Z$ or $l-l'-\gamma$ couplings from the processes ep→ $\mu(\tau)$X and μp→ τX. For the $l-l'-Z$ coupling we consider string inspired E$_6$ model whereas $l-l'-\gamma$ coupling is analyzed in the framework of anomalous magnetic moment type interaction (AMMI) model.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the Standard Model (SM) lepton flavor is conserved in neutral current processes at tree level. Many searches for lepton flavor changing neutral current (FCNC) processes have been performed within different extensions of the SM [1]. In this paper we study e - μ , e - τ transitions in ep and μ - τ transitions in μp collisions. For numerical evaluations the center of mass energy and luminosity values [2] of future lepton-hadron colliders are given in Tables I and II . The two dynamical models we use allowing the above transitions are string inspired E$_6$ [3] and anomalous magnetic moment interactions AMMI [4]. The processes ep$\rightarrow$ $\mu(\tau)$X and μp$\rightarrow\tau$X occur in t-channel exchange of a neutral vector boson, Z in E$_6$ and γ in AMMI .

In E$_6$, flavor violating $l-l'-Z$ vertices arise from the mixings between right handed components of the ordinary and new heavy chaged leptons:

$$\Gamma^{\mu} = i g_Z b_{ll'Z} \gamma^{\mu}(1+\gamma_5) \tag{1}$$

where $g_Z = g_e/\sin\theta_W\cos\theta_W$, θ_W is the weak mixing angle and b$_{ll'Z}$ denotes some combination of the leptonic mixing angles.

In the second model the interaction vertices $l-l'-\gamma$ are :

$$\Gamma^{\mu} = i\kappa_{ll'\gamma}\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^{\nu} \tag{2}$$

where q is the momentum transfer through the intermediate photon and $\kappa_{ll'\gamma}$=a$_{ll'\gamma}(\frac{e}{2m_e})$ denotes the anomalous magnetic transition moment.

II. ANALYSIS

Differential cross section for the subprocess $lq \rightarrow l'q$ in E$_6$ is obtained as :

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{d\hat{t}} = \frac{b^2_{ll'Z}g^4_Z}{16\pi\hat{s}^2[(\hat{t}-M^2_Z)^2+M^2_Z\Gamma^2_Z]}[(a_q+v_q)^2\hat{t}^2+(a_q+v_q)^2(2\hat{s}-m^2_{l'})\hat{t}+2\hat{s}(a^2_q+v^2_q)(\hat{s}-m^2_{l'})] \tag{3}$$

where $\hat{s}=x$S is the center of mass energy of the incoming lepton and quark. Using the experimental limits for FCNC Z decays; BR(Z$\rightarrow$ eμ) $< 1.7\times 10^{-6}$, BR(Z$\rightarrow$ eτ) $< 9.8\times 10^{-6}$ and BR(Z$\rightarrow$ $\mu\tau$) $< 1.2\times 10^{-5}$ [5] we obtain the upper bound values of b$_{ll'Z}$:

$$\mathrm{b}_{e\mu Z} < 0.504 \times 10^{-2}$$
$$\mathrm{b}_{e\tau Z} < 1.209 \times 10^{-2}$$
$$\mathrm{b}_{\mu\tau Z} < 1.338 \times 10^{-2}$$

The total cross section is obtained by folding $\hat{\sigma}$ over the parton distribution functions inside the proton [6] as follow :

$$\sigma = \int_{x_{min}}^{1} dx \int_{t_-}^{t_+} \frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{d\hat{t}} f_q(x) d\hat{t} \tag{4}$$

where $x_{min} = \frac{m_{l'}^2}{S}$ and $t_+ = 0, t_- = -(\hat{s} - m_{l'}^2)$. These phase space boundaries are obtained in the $m_e = m_q = 0$ case. The results of the integrated cross sections for various lepton-proton colliders are presented in Table I. We see that $\sigma(ep \to \tau X) \cong 6\sigma(ep \to \mu X)$.

The differential cross section in AMMI model is calculated as;

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{d\hat{t}} = \frac{\alpha e_0^2 \kappa_{ll'\gamma}^2}{2\hat{s}^2}[m_{l'}^2 - 2\hat{s} + (2\hat{s}m_{l'}^2 - m_{l'}^4 - 2\hat{s}^2)\frac{1}{\hat{t}}] \tag{5}$$

where α is the fine-structure constant and e_0 is the quark charge in units of the electron charge e. In the integral (4) we take a $t_{cut} = 0.01$GeV to avoid a divergency due to the photon propagator. Now we use the experimental upper bounds for the flavor-violating μ and τ decays; BR($\mu \to$ eγ) $< 1.2\times 10^{-11}$, BR($\tau \to$ eγ) $< 2.7\times 10^{-6}$ and BR($\tau \to \mu\gamma$) $< 1.1\times 10^{-6}$ to put the limits on $\mathrm{a}_{ll'\gamma}$;

$$\mathrm{a}_{\mu e\gamma} < 6.36 \times 10^{-13}$$
$$\mathrm{a}_{\tau e\gamma} < 1.24 \times 10^{-8}$$
$$\mathrm{a}_{\tau\mu\gamma} < 0.79 \times 10^{-8}$$

The results for the integrated cross-sections are given in Table II.

colliders	$\sqrt{S}$(GeV)	$\mathcal{L}$(cm^{-2}s^{-1})	σ(ep$\to\mu$X)(pb)	σ(ep$\to\tau$X)(pb)	σ(μp$\to\tau$X)(pb)
Thera 1	1000	4×10^{30}	0.96×10^{-2}	5.57×10^{-2}	-
Thera 2	1000	2.5×10^{31}	0.96×10^{-2}	5.57×10^{-2}	-
Thera 3	1600	1.6×10^{31}	1.12×10^{-2}	6.50×10^{-2}	-
LINAC$\otimes$LHC	5300	10^{33}	1.36×10^{-2}	7.85×10^{-2}	-
μ-Tevatron	894	10^{31}	-	-	6.48×10^{-2}
μp	3000	10^{32}	-	-	9.02×10^{-2}

Table I:Cross section values for the FCNC processes in E_6

colliders	$\sqrt{S}$(GeV)	$\mathcal{L}$(cm^{-2}s^{-1})	σ(ep$\to\mu$X)(pb)	σ(ep$\to\tau$X)(pb)	σ(μp$\to\tau$X)(pb)
Thera 1	1000	4×10^{30}	1.71×10^{-18}	6.54×10^{-10}	-
Thera 2	1000	2.5×10^{31}	1.71×10^{-18}	6.54×10^{-10}	-
Thera 3	1600	1.6×10^{31}	1.81×10^{-18}	6.95×10^{-10}	-
LINAC⊗LHC	5300	10^{33}	2.09×10^{-18}	8.02×10^{-10}	-
μ-Tevatron	894	10^{31}	-	-	3.00×10^{-10}
μp	3000	10^{32}	-	-	2.65×10^{-10}

Table II:Cross section values for the LFV processes in AMMI

III. CONCLUSION

Our main results indicate that future lepton-hadron colliders are promising machines for investigation of FCNC processes predicted by E_6 model. Unfortunately this statement is not applicable for LFV processes predicted by AMMI model. According to Table I we expect $N(e \to \tau) = 785$ and $N(e \to \mu) = 136$ events per year at LINAC⊗LHC and $N(\mu \to \tau) = 90$ events per year at μp collider. In the case of no observations of these events, our results show that about 2 order of higher accuracy compering to the current limits will be achieved.

IV. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank S. Sultansoy for useful discussions. This work is supported in part by Abant Izzet Baysal University Research Found.

---

[1] E.Malkawi and T.Tait, Phys. Rev. D **54** (1996) 5758 ; T.Tait and C.P.Yuan Phys. Rev. D **55**(1997) 7300 ; K.J. Abraham, K.Whisnant, B.L. Young, Phys. Lett. B **419** (1998) 381; T. Han and J.L. Hewett, Phys. Rev. D **60** (1999) 074015; S.Bar-Shalom and J.Wudka, Phys. Rev. D **60** (1999) 094016.

[2] R.Brinkmann et al., DESY 97-239(1997); S.Sultansoy, Turk.J.Phys. **22** (1998) 575; M.Tigner, B.Wiik and F.Willeke, Proceedings of the 1991 IEEE Particle Accelerators Conference(6-9 May 1991,SanFrancisco,California), Vol5.p.2910; B.H.Wiik, Proceedings of the International Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics (22-28 July 1993,Marseille,France), p.739; S.F.Sultanov, IC/89/409, Trieste(1989); V.D.Shiltsev, FERMILAB-Conf-97/114(1997); V.D.Shiltsev, FERMILAB-TM-1969 (1996); P.Grosse-Wiesmann, Nucl.Instrum.Meth. **A274** (1989) 21; www.ifh.de/thera.

[3] F.Gursey and M.Serdaroglu Lett. Nuovo Cimento, **21** (1978) 28; F.Gursey and M.Serdaroglu, Nuovo Cimento, **A65** (1981) 337; J.L. Hewett and T.G.Rizzo, Phys.Rep, **C183** (1989) 193; T.M.Aliev, S.F.Sultansoy and O.Yilmaz Phys.Lett. B **291**, 106(1992); T.M.Aliev, S.F.Sultansoy and O.Yilmaz, Phys.Rev. **D47** (1993) 2879.

[4] A.O.Barut and J.McEwan, Phys.Lett. B**135** (1984) 171; A.T.Alan, Z.Z.Aydin and S.Sultansoy, Turk.J.Phys. **22** (1998) 923.

[5] D.E.Groom et al., The European Physical Journal **C15**(2000) 1.

[6] A. D. Martin, R. G. Roberts, W. J. Stirling, R. S. Thorne, Eur.Phys.J. **C4**(1998) 463,496.